

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Docket No. 05-278)**

In re Application of:)	
)	
Yen Choo)	
)	Group Art Unit 1645
Serial No. 10/530,128)	
)	Examiner: Lakia Tongue
Filed: October 21, 2005)	
)	Confirmation No. 2651
For: Cell Culture)	

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT, MAILED JUNE 25, 2008

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 25, 2008, Applicant elects the invention alleged to be Group I (claims 1, 2 and 4-16) drawn to a method for determining the effect of a plurality of culture conditions on a cell, with traverse.

The Office asserts that the pending claims contain Groups I-XIII drawn to various methods. However, the Applicant believes that certain alleged Groups can be examined together without placing any additional burden on the Examiner. For example, the claims of alleged Group I (claims 1, 2, 4-16) and Group II (claims 3 and 5-16) relate to methods that involve common steps. Further, many of the claims of other alleged Groups are dependent on claims of yet other alleged groups (see, e.g., claim 19 (Group IV), which is dependent on claims 17 & 18 (Group III), which are yet further dependent on earlier claims (Groups I & II)).

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully asserts that there would be no undue burden on the Examiner in searching and examining many of the alleged Groups, and requests reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement.

Respectfully submitted,
McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

Dated: July 25, 2008

By: /Christopher P. Singer/
Christopher P. Singer
Reg. No. 48,701